1		
2		
3		
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
6	CHRIS BIGFORD,	
7	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. C12-6045 BHS/KLS
8	v.	ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO
9	LAKEWOOD POLICE DEPARTMENT, DEPUTY A. MOODY,	STRIKE
11	Defendants.	
12	Before the Court is Defendants' Motion to Strike Certain Pleadings Filed by Plaintiff.	
13	ECF No. 11. Having carefully considered the motion and balance of the record, the Court finds	
14	that the motion should be denied.	
15	BACKGROUND	
16	After Defendants filed an Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint, the Plaintiff filed two	
17	responses to Defendants' Answer. On December 31, 2012, Plaintiff filed a Written Response to	
18	Defendants' Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint. ECF No. 7. On January 3, 2013, Plaintiff filed a	
19	Response to Defendant's Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint for Damages. ECF No. 8. Along with	
20	the latter pleading, Plaintiff filed his Affidavit in Support of Response to Defendants' Answer to	
21	Plaintiff's Complaint. ECF No. 8-1. Plaintiff did not seek leave to file these additional	
22	pleadings nor did the Court otherwise allow Plaintiff to file any response to Defendants' Answer.	
23		
24		

DISCUSSION Pursuant to Rule 7 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, any response or reply to an answer is not allowed under the federal rules absent a court order. The Court has not ordered a response or reply to Defendant's answer. Accordingly, it is **ORDERED**: (1) Defendants' Motion to Strike (ECF No. 11) is **GRANTED.** (2) The Clerk shall send a copy of this Order to Plaintiff and counsel for Defendants. **DATED** this <u>15th</u> day of March, 2013. Karen L. Strombom United States Magistrate Judge